

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office . Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/828,676	04/21/2004	Richard X. Gu	TI-37591 (1962-10900)	4466
23494	7590 07/27/2005		EXAMINER	
	STRUMENTS INCOR	GOODLEY, JAMES E		
DALLAS, T	5474, M/S 3999 X 75265		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBI	
•			2817	

DATE MAILED: 07/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	W
Office Astion Comments	10/828,676	GU, RICHARD X.	-
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James E. Goodley	2817	•
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence add	ress –
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply bly within the statutory minimum of thirty (34 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed O) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this component (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 J	Iulv 2005.		
<u> </u>	s action is non-final.	•	
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under	•	• •	merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/e	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 21 April 2004 is/are: a	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected	d to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applority documents have been received in Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National S	tage
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>04/21/2004</u>. 	<u></u>	lail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-	152)

Art Unit: 2817

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3 and 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Afghahi (US 6870431).

Regarding **claims 1-3, 8 and 9**, Fig. 1, lines 66-67 of column 1 and lines 1-36 in column 2 of Afghahi shows an oscillator circuit comprising a plurality of ring oscillators [path through A1-A2-A3-FB1 and path through A4-A5-A6-FB2] further comprising three stages each [inverters A1-A3 and A4-A6], wherein each stage further comprises an inverter or delay element, wherein each ring oscillator produces an oscillatory output signal [phases Θ 1- Θ 3 and Θ 4- Θ 6]; wherein the ring oscillators are cross coupled [via locking circuits L1 and L2]; and wherein each ring oscillator drives only one other ring oscillator.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/828,676

Art Unit: 2817

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-6 and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Afghahi.

Regarding claims **4-6 and 10-11**, Afghahi shows the oscillator circuit of claim 1 and suggests but does not specifically disclose in lines 22-32 of column 3 that adding more or fewer amplifiers could be used in each ring oscillator depending on the number of phases required for the application at hand, and in lines 31-36 of column 2 that additional cross-couplings may be added to the circuit, thus creating additional ring oscillators.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the circuit in Fig. 1 of Afghahi to include eight inverting cells, cross-coupled to make 4 ring oscillators, such that each ring oscillator comprises 3 stages and produces a four phase, quadrature clock, with outputs varying in phase from each other by 90 degrees for the purpose of obtaining a desired number of clocking phases and a desired operating frequency to use in a particular clocking application.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Afghahi in view of *Arcus (US 6426662*).

Art Unit: 2817

Regarding claim 7, Afghahi shows the oscillator circuit of claim 1, except "a plurality of ring oscillators wherein each ring oscillator produces an oscillatory output signal, wherein the ring oscillators are cross coupled such that each ring oscillator drives only one other ring oscillator, wherein the plurality of ring oscillators implement differential signaling and the oscillator circuit further comprises a plurality of cells coupled to the ring oscillators and whose purpose is to reduce timing differences among at least some of the oscillator output signals." However, lines 10-13 and 38-39 of column 2 and lines 15-18 of column 4 and Fig. 2 of Arcus shows a ring oscillator comprised of inverting delay stages [14A, 14B, 14C, 14D] implementing differential signaling and the oscillator circuit further comprising a plurality of cells [inverting amplifiers at outputs of "DIFF TOGL FF" 20-27] coupled to the ring oscillator and whose purpose is to reduce timing differences among at least some of the oscillator output signals.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of Afghahi by the teachings of Arcus to implement differential signaling in a cross-coupling fashion for a plurality of ring oscillators, wherein each ring oscillator drives only one other oscillator and produces an oscillatory output signal for the purpose of better controlling the accuracy and timing of the clock signals.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2817

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Non patent literature document "Voltage Controlled Ring Oscillator with Wide Tuning Range and Fast Voltage Swing" by Nicodimus Retdian, Shigetaka Takagi and Nobuo Fujii discloses methods for constructing a ring oscillator out of inverting or delaying electronic elements.

Fax/Telephone Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James E. Goodley. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J. Pascal can be reached on (571)272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/828,676

Art Unit: 2817

*** JG

Page 6

Zardia V Sm. H

Primary Examiner